

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,065		11/24/2003	Johannes Catharinus Hubertus Mulkens	081468-0306886	9148
909	7590	12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
		THROP SHAW I	KIM, PETER B		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2851	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/719,065	HUBERTUS MUL	HUBERTUS MULKENS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Peter B. Kim	2851	/iW		

		1 Cler B. Talli		/ \
•	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress (
THE REPL	Y FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
this a place a Re	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the followes the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Noquest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evider a compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
	he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		th in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
	o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
	examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN
have been fi under 37 CF set forth in (I may reduce	of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date led is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sb) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) F APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amour shortened statutory period for reply on r than three months after the mailing o	nt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two montl	hs of the date of
filing a Not	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extetice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDME			<i>-</i>	
	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
	They raise new issues that would require further co		JIE below);	
· · · · —	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		enderning on nimedificion	the leaves for
(c) L	They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(4) <u></u>	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	piected claims	
(u)	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected ciaims.	
4 □ Tho:	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	Compliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	licant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
	ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. X For p how t The s	surposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: n(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
	n(s) objected to:			
	n(s) rejected: <u>1-62</u> .			
	n(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
enter	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ed because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o ing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio			
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,,	
11. 🗌 The	request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
	e the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.	er:		Peter B. Kim Primary Examiner	
			Art Unit: 2851	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues are the proposed amendments to the claims.